

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,225	03/23/2004	Donald V. Edwards	SIM 04023	8484
7590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER		
JAMES RAY & ASSOCIATES			WATSON, ROBERT C	
2640 PITCAIRN ROAD MONROEVILLE, PA 15146			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3723	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006	<b>5</b> .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)  EDWARDS, DONALD V.	
<b></b>	10/807,225		
Office Action Summar	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Watson	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this comeriod for Reply	nmunication appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH  - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	num statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC or reply will, by statute, cause the application to become a onths after the mailing date of this communication, even	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>27 February 2006</u> .	دين ا	
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in cond	lition for allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the p	practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8,13</u>	3 <u>,17-26,28 and 29</u> is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-12,14-16 and 27</u>			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected		+	
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to t	-		
	s/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to		
	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya		
	uding the correction is required if the drawin		
11) I he oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P10-152.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	ority documents have been received.		
	ority documents have been received in		
0     Oaniaa af Har aratificat	•	en received in this ivational Stage	
3. Copies of the certified co	national Rurgau /DCT Dulo 17 9/6\\		
application from the Inter	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). action for a list of the certified copies no	nt received	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 9-11, 14, 16, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Younick.

Younick shows an apparatus for applying upward pressure to an object. apparatus includes a first means 6, an elongated rod 1, a second means 4, and a third means 7. The third means 7 "engages the support 3" (Younick, column 2, line 13). This is construed by the examiner as engaging the support 3 always even during a prying operation. Clearly, the tool operates by third means 7 supporting and pivoting Even if the third means 7 supported and pivoted the apparatus for a said apparatus. small infinitesimal time it would read on the claim language. Since the third means 7 "engages the support 3" it must necessarily be on an underside of the second means. The bottom of third means 7 is at least coplanar with the underside of the second means 4 which is interpreted as being "on the underside of the second means 4" since this is a broadly written phrase subject to various interpretations. Since the Younick device is a "wrecking bar", the examiner takes Official Notice that wrecking bars are commonly made from steel and that steel would have the strength characteristics recited in the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225

Art Unit: 3723

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 3, 5, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Younick in view of Morgen and Christensen et al.

Morgen teaches that various object and user hand engaging portions of the lifting lever may include grips or caps to cover the extremities of these engaging portions.

To provide grips or caps on any of the engaging portions of Younick would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Morgenberger.

Christensen et al teaches that the object engaging portions of a lever may be made from rubber or plastics. The examiner takes Official Notice that a common plastic is polyethylene. To make any engaging portion in Younick from polyethylene would have been obvious at the time of the invention in view of the teachings of Christensen et al.

Claims 6-8, 13, 17-26, and 28-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/21/05.

The Ward and Marson lever lifting tools are cited to show a third means disposed below the bar.

Applicant's remarks have been carefully considered. Applicant's remark that bar 7 of Younick does function to support and pivot the tool is found by the examiner to

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225

Art Unit: 3723

be far fetched. Since the bottom of bar 7 "engages the support 3" (Younick, column 2, line 13), and since this is construed as engaging the support 3 always even during a prying operation then, necessarily, this bar must function to support and pivot the tool during the prying operation. It is noted in applicant's arguments that applicant urges that the claims are patentable because "the third means 7 of Younick is not disposed below the bar 2". However, the examiner is unable to find in the claims a recitation that the third means is disposed below the bar. The claim language the examiner finds is that "the third means is disposed on an underside of the second means". A third means coplanar with the underside of the second means is considered on an underside of the second means.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER